

Committee members present: ZuAnn Neil, Linda Smith, Dick Hollenbeck, Jeannie Searcy, Gary Goodman, Wayne Looney, Duane Garner.

Guests: Mary Opperman, George Heinz.

- The meeting was called to order at 1:35 pm by Wayne Looney
- Gary Goodman made a motion to approve the minutes, Linda Smith seconded the motion, approved unanimously.
- Wayne reviewed the goals and focus of the committee. Duane added that it might be necessary for this committee to make intermediate recommendations to the CCPRD board.
- Dick Hollenbeck passed out a revised drawing that he believes would be a good improved design. The changes that Dick suggested were a revision of concept 1C from BLRB. Changes included: combining the wellness pool with a zero-entry pool along with a river walk, trading the location of the women's restroom with the men's and making an opening between the aquatic's directors room and the guard room to access the deck. The entire committee expressed that they liked these recommendations.
- Gary Goodman asked if BLRB had produced a revised drawing and cost estimate the was discussed at the last meeting. Duane said that he had not received it yet.
- Dick Hollenbeck asked the architects about how much the cost would be to increase the depth of the lap pool to 12' and he was told that it would not increase the cost very much. He would also like to see the wellness pool be combined with a zero-entry pool with a current pool. He feels that a diving board and rope swing are important features.

- Linda Smith and Jeannie Searcy both agreed with Dick that the wellness pool and zero pool should be combined and one end of the wellness pool deeper on one end. It could also be roped off and a slide added.
- Jeremy Logan noted that a survey should be completed by BLRB. We need to know what the people want and will vote for. He also asked about the survey results from the prior pool efforts. He asked how does BLRB plan on conducting the survey listed in the proposal? Duane Garner said that they only budgeted \$750 and they said that they would “help” with the survey.
- Wayne Looney asked: “Do we want to move forward with a vision of what we want to build or do we start with a financial number that we think is feasible for the community?” A discussion took place about what the committee is tasked to do. The consensus was that the committee is tasked to make a recommendation the CCPRD Board of what they think is the best proposal that BLRB has put together.
- Jeremy Logan stated that we shouldn’t pick a design without doing a community survey and know what the voters actually want. We shouldn’t just decide based on the demographics of this committee.
- Linda Smith expressed again that she likes the design that Dick Hollenbeck is recommending.
- Dick Hollenbeck said that he believes that there could be companies that would want to support the pool through memberships for exercise and health. He also believes that the pool should be available to the school district for P.E programs.
- Gary Goodman stated that we need to stay focused on choosing a design that BLRB has presented. What we do know from the public is that they want an indoor pool and we need to put forth a proposal to CCPRD.
- There was a conversation among the committee and most of them like the idea that Dick Hollenbeck has proposed.

- Jeremy Logan suggested that we do a general survey of the community and give them a variety of options. The committee agreed and there were five options that the committee would like to get complete cost estimates from BLRB on.

Options given to the community for survey:

- Option One: Covered lap pool with stub outs and mechanical to expand.
- Option Two: “Dick’s design”, Two pools - Lap pool – 25 meter (instead of 25 yard), 12’ deep end and diving board. and zero depth + toys / wellness has deep end, could handle a future slide. Within \$17.4 million and going east
- Option Three: 3A covered rec. pool and lap pool. Stubbed for wellness pool. No wellness pool.
- Option Four: 3A with Dick’s wellness
- Option Five: 3C with Dick’s wellness
- ZuAnn Neil suggested that we make sure that we get the word “aquatic” out our marketing strategy. She believes that this will not be a favorable term with the community.
- Jeannie Searcy stated that if any additional money is spent, she believes that it should go into a community survey.
- The Committee asked Duane Garner if he would contact BLRB and ask them to put prices on the five designs that they have come up with. They also wanted clarification on what role BLRB would have with conducting a survey. They also want BLRB to address each line item on the proposal.
- Duane Garner suggested that it might be a good idea to consider hiring Andy Parks to do some financial analysis for the District and come up with some potential options for funding this facility. He pointed out that Andy has done some considerable financial work with the City and the County in recent times and is well appointed with our community and

what financial capacity we have. The committee seemed to think that this would be a good idea.

- Jeremy Logan noted that Facebook and Apple are outside the CCPRD district but the City could potentially have the ability to access funds that will come available from these entities.
- Next meeting was set for: Thursday 24th at 1:30 pm.
- Meeting adjourned at 3:00 pm.